A Decade of Improvements in Data Systems and Data Sharing

S Pouliquen, S Hankin, B Keeley, J Blower, C Donlon, A Kozyr, R Guralnick OceanObs09 Venice 21 September 2009



The ways of Using Data have changed

- A lot of data are collected but not easily accessible while they could serve multiple applications
- The nature of science has changed : more work on context, impact synthesis rather than well individual process studies . Need for other scientists data

society

nor

õ

- Government agencies requirements have changed
 - ⇒ Global scale applications requiring integrated observing system (climate change, ocean health monitoring, fisheries assessment,...)
 - \Rightarrow No country can pay the full bill for the data acquisition
 - ⇒ A new paradigm emerging : "Data acquired with public funds should be publically available"
- Important demand for real time data access especially in operational oceanography and monitoring applications
- Information Technology and Data Management techniques are no more an obstacle to information sharing

Ingredients for smooth Data sharing



⇒Find the compromise between user needs and providers capabilities ☺

⇒Categories of users from operational to research

⇒ Categories of services from routine & robust to specific and tailored

⇒ Categories of providers : operational agencies, research community, private sector



Providers used to tailor their service for their direct users . BUT Serving other users need more work and harmonization with others

Distance between an observing system and a User

??]	From	То	Who	What
	Platform	Media	Provider : can be a scientist, an agency, a private company,	 <u>Collects</u> data , transform sensor measurements into oceanographic information. Records/<u>Describes</u> the way the data has been collected
0's	Media	Repository	A Data Center	 <u>Archives, quality control, distributes</u> the data to the external users Ensures that required <u>metadata</u>, have been filled in and <u>traces</u> the history of the processing of the data (version tracking)
0's Iow	Repository	Service provider	Thematic Assembly Center	 Integrates various data into a coherent product, <u>checks the coherency</u> of the data coming from various platforms, provide feedback to Data centers when anomalies are detected. <u>Derives value added products</u> designed for a kind of application <u>Ensures distribution to a wider community</u>
Soon	Service provider	End users	Service providers	• <u>Customizes product from specific applications</u> combining a wide variety of observation, models outputs and expertise.



In-Situ Physical Oceanography : Argo



- Open data policy
- Multi stage data processing
- Centralized data holding
- Common Format
- Common Quality control procedures in Real-Time and Delayed mode



A Decade of improvements in Data Systems and Data Sharing



society



- 90% of the data are processed within 24h and available freely
- More then 320 000 profiles at GDACs. 9 000 new profiles per month
- 10 National Data Centers, 2 Gdacs, 12 Delayed mode operators, 5 Regional centers



Satellite Observations: GHRSST



Open data policy

- Decentralized data processing, centralized distribution at GDACs
- Common format data provided with uncertainty estimates and ancillary parameters
- Value added products generated at Regional Data Centers



GHRSST



- OceanObs '09
- Total archive exceed 20TB from 1981 to present
- About 200 users downloading the data from GDAC every month, 1000 on the WWW
- 2 GDACS, 11 RDACs, 1 Long term repository and reanalysis facility.

In-Situ Carbon Data : CDIAC



In-Situ Carbon Data : CDIAC



nation for society:

0

601

LDEO Database V2007 consist 4.5 million surface measurements of CO2
Covered from 1960s to present time

• The CARBOOCEAN SOCAT database will be open through CDIAC in 2010 with 7.5 million surface measurements of CO2

A Decade of improvements in Data Systems and Data Sharing

Biological In-situ Observations : IOBIS



IOBIS and OBIS-USA

•



- The Ocean Biogeographic Information System (OBIS) is composed of iOBIS and regional nodes (eg. OBIS-USA).
- iOBIS 19.3 million records of 106 000 species from 660 distributed databases.
 - OBIS-USA 1.9 million records and 24,112 Taxa (by Scientific Name) 231,192 Distinct Locations, 40 Datasets 130 Collections (representing distinct research activities) 39 Investigators (this includes 'administrative' and 'technical' contacts) 22 Institutions Date range: 1843 – 2008
 - iOBIS and OBIS-USA are both growing by leaps and bounds

Building a Data Information System: A layered approach



What are the key elements of an efficient Data management network





•We need to agree on a common language

As you

can see

Si je

peux ajouter

你确定

Я хотел

н добавить

- ⇒ How can a user know that "subsurface temperature" is called TEMP in ARGO, Temperature in TAO, and is different from Temperature in GHRSST
- \Rightarrow This is the purpose of metadata normalization,,

•We need more than the measurements themselves:

- ⇒ Metadata that record the context of data acquisition (sensor, experiment, data centre, PI,...)
- ⇒ Raw data and corrected data to enable future reprocessing
- \Rightarrow Common Quality flags that characterize the data
- ⇒ History of what has been done on the data , what are the processing steps they have gone through, Calibration information if any.

society

What are the key elements of an efficient Data management network



Data quality: the cleaner you want the longer it takes!!!!!

It's Fundamental because

⇒accepting erroneous data can cause erroneous conclusions



- ⇒BUT rejecting extreme good data can lead to miss important events ...
- It's a challenge because no « ground truth » really exists
- For forecast data must be delivered with one day.. For reanalysis or climate change studies users request higher quality data set and even corrected data if possible.

⇒2 steps: Real time and delayed mode QC

What did we prove in past decade

- **Open data policy** is an asset for everybody (scientists, data managers, policy makers, ...)
- Information technology and Data management capabilities are no more an obstacle to data access and sharing even in real time
- It's important to properly archive with the data all the necessary metadata information for future generations in a common language
- Building on common vocabularies, formats, distribution means
 , even if they are not yet stamped as standards is essential to build a
 reliable system => Need to set up mechanism to collaborate with
 standardization bodies and move to the standards when stable
- Feedback from users is important and helps improving the quality of the data

Conclusions & Next steps for future

Accessible: free access to essential data

society

- Comparable agreement on quality control procedures both in real-time and delayed mode to provide datasets independent of what platform sampled it.
- Understandable common standards for data description and distribution. Same syntax and semantic.
- Recognized/cited in scientific papers that use them
- Moving from Observations to information, use of in-situ/ satellite/ model outputs together to provide services to users

